

# REGULATIONS FOR RESEARCH DEGREES

*These Regulations are approved by the Academic Board.  
Last updated: June 2024*

*Where these regulations require a member of the School's staff or a body of the School to act, this authority may be delegated where appropriate.*

*Where these regulations require communication to be 'in writing' this will normally be by email. In accordance with the Conditions of Registration the School will assume that students can open, read, and act upon emails sent to their LSE email account.*

## General

1. These regulations are made subject to the General Academic Regulations of the School and the Conditions of Registration. They apply to every student taking a programme of study leading to the degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) or Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in the School.
2. For students registered on an MRes/PhD programme, the Regulations for Taught Masters Degrees will apply for the MRes stage of the programme. Students are also subject to published local regulations which apply for each programme of study leading to a research degree in respect of arrangements for student progression, monitoring, upgrade to PhD and termination of registration. If a conflict occurs between local and School regulations, the School regulations will normally take precedence.

## Research Ethics and Plagiarism

3. All students must ensure their research complies with the School's Research Ethics Policy.
4. Students must make themselves familiar with the School's Regulations on Assessment Offences (of which there are separate versions on plagiarism and other offences) and the School's Statement on Editorial Help.
5. The School reserves the right to submit any part of a thesis to any software designed to identify plagiarism or to take any other steps it considers appropriate to identify possible plagiarism.

## Registration

6. Except where the School has given permission for initial registration for the PhD degree alone, each student will register initially for an MPhil or MRes programme. Such a programme will not result in examination for a PhD unless the student has been upgraded in accordance with regulations 40-45 or, for MRes programmes, in accordance with local regulations.
7. At the discretion of the teaching department and the Research Degrees Subcommittee Chair, students may be exempted for part of the MPhil or PhD programme if they have already begun a programme for that (or an equivalent) degree at another university. This can include exemption from taught course(s) requirement(s), but will not normally include exemption from the School's upgrade requirements.

## Programme length

8. The LSE PhD is a three year degree programme (or part-time equivalent) with an additional year of registration (or part-time equivalent) to complete writing-up.
9. Except by special permission of the Research Degrees Sub Committee the minimum length of the programme will be two calendar years of full-time study or the equivalent in part-time study.
10. The maximum period of registration is four years for full-time and eight years for part-time students. Where a student follows periods of both full- and part-time study the maximum length will be adjusted according to the length of registration spent in each mode.
11. Registration beyond the maximum length is only permitted in exceptional circumstances via application to the PhD Academy and consideration of the Chair of the Research Degrees Sub Committee or appointed nominee.
  - 11.1 Retrospective consideration of issues will not normally be permitted and students are responsible for ensuring issues are raised at the appropriate time.



12. Students enrolled beyond the maximum period of enrolment will be charged full fees for the extension period.
13. Submission of the thesis for examination is required by the School's stated deadline and non-submission will result in de-registration and the candidate no longer being eligible for examination or award.

#### **Part-time registration**

14. LSE PhD programmes are designed to be followed through a programme of full-time study based at LSE, London.
15. Applications for switching to part-time registration can be made by students who need to spread their studies over a longer period if they are in one or more of the following categories, can provide documentary evidence in support of the application and provided appropriate part-time study support can be provided by the School through application of reasonable adjustments:
  - 15.1 employed or self-employed where the employment is in excess of 20 hours per week;
  - 15.2 on the basis of a protected characteristic recognised in the Equality Act 2010 which precludes undertaking full-time study; and
  - 15.3 are not restricted by funding or visa conditions related to their registration.
16. Part-time study is 0.5 of a full-time workload and is expected to be based at LSE, London.

#### **Absence and interrupting registration**

17. If a student is absent from the School for longer than 2 weeks other than permitted absences under regulation 18, and 29-35, they should seek approval of their supervisor/department and notification must be provided to the PhD Academy. Absence over four weeks requires approval from the PhD Academy in accordance with relevant regulations.
18. A programme must be followed continuously except by permission of the Research Degrees Subcommittee Chair.
19. Students who want to interrupt their studies must apply in advance for permission to do so and provide the appropriate documentary evidence. Retrospective interruptions will not normally be approved.
20. Where the School has permitted a student to interrupt their studies, no fee will be payable for the period of interruption; and the period will not count towards the period of study required by regulation 9 or allowed by regulation 10. Interruption will not normally be allowed for more than a total of two years overall and will not normally be permitted within the first year of study except where permitted within the LSE Parental Leave Policy for Research Degrees Student

#### **Registration following a final MPhil/PhD examination**

21. Where a student receives an outcome from a final examination that involves a referral for revision (under regulations 77 and 79) and wishes to be registered for this period, they will need to request re-registration from the PhD Academy. Further registration is suitable for candidates requiring continued access to campus facilities and supervision. The PhD Academy may request details of the arrangements for completion of revisions before approving registration for this period.

#### **Supervision arrangements**

22. All students are entitled to a team of at least two supervisors to provide academic support.
23. Irrespective of supervisory arrangements agreed between supervisory team members, one of the supervisors will be responsible for managing progression and meeting regulatory requirements and will be designated *lead* supervisor for administrative purposes. The other supervisor may also be known as an adviser.
24. The lead supervisor will
  - 24.1 have knowledge of a student's subject area and theoretical approach;
  - 24.2 be a permanent member of the academic staff of the School<sup>1</sup>;

---

<sup>1</sup> This excludes centennial or visiting professors and retired members of academic staff.

- 24.3 have passed major review;
  - 24.4 usually be assigned for the duration of a student's programme;
  - 24.5 be responsible in a lead capacity for no more than eight supervisees.
25. The supervisory team must be confirmed by the end of a student's first term of registration on the PhD programme.
26. Supervision is normally expected to be provided by LSE faculty. Where circumstances mean that suitable supervision from within LSE cannot be provided, the Doctoral Programme Director and Chair of Research Degrees Sub-Committee may exceptionally consider appointment of an external supervisor to the supervisory team.
27. Full-time students have the right to at least three supervisory meetings per term. Part-time students have the right to at least two supervisory meetings per term.
28. The Doctoral Programme Director or Head of Department may consider a change of supervisor where deemed appropriate. However, a change of supervisor cannot be guaranteed.

### **Studying away from the School**

29. All students are required to be in regular attendance at the School for the purpose of attending courses, supervisions and other seminars required by their programme.
30. The Research Degrees Subcommittee Chair may allow students to be absent from the School for fieldwork or study elsewhere and will set the conditions which will apply. These will include regular contact with their supervisor(s). Absence from the School will not normally be allowed in the first year of registration.
31. Applications for study away from the school must be made through the PhD Academy via the appropriate procedure.
32. A fee will be payable for the period of absence, and the period will count towards the minimum and maximum number of years of study required by regulations 9-10.
33. Students undertaking fieldwork or study elsewhere must carry out a risk assessment in collaboration with their supervisor before starting the period of absence.
34. Any ethical issues arising from study away from the school should be considered in accordance with the LSE Research Ethics policy, procedures and guidance.
35. In exceptional circumstances, the Research Degrees Subcommittee Chair may permit a student to reside outside the UK during their final year writing up period. Non-resident registration status will not normally be permitted where a student is required to attend classes at the School or requires access to on-campus resources and facilities and will be subject to confirmation of satisfactory support arrangements, both academic and non-academic, and access to appropriate resources and facilities.

### **Progress Review**

36. The department will formally review the progress of each student at the end of each academic session (and as deemed by the department as equivalent for part-time students) in accordance with its published procedures.
37. Such procedures should:
- 37.1 confirm the members of staff involved in the progress review, which should include at least one member of the academic staff other than the supervisor;
  - 37.2 detail what written work and/or examinations the student is required to submit and/or sit. Requirements for written work should be based on an appropriate area of the student's research/thesis;
  - 37.3 (where relevant) include what grade a student is required to achieve in any assessment resulting from a taught course;
  - 37.4 include a review of the student's training and professional development needs;
  - 37.5 include an assessment of any ethics review required for the student's research undertaken in accordance with the School's research ethics policy;
  - 37.6 include the submission and review of a completion timetable clearly setting out the work completed and remaining on the student's research/thesis. The completion timetable at the end of year three should include



- plans for examination entry and submission;
- 37.7 confirm whether an opportunity is provided for the student to defend their progress orally to the progress review panel; and
- 37.8 include consideration of any information provided by the student on exceptional circumstances.
- 38. Progress reviews may result in the following outcomes:
  - 38.1 continued registration,
  - 38.2 continued registration with conditions,
  - 38.3 continued registration as an MPhil Candidate; or
  - 38.4 termination of registration.
- 39. The PhD Academy Deputy Director will, if applicable, inform the student in writing of the outcome with the reasons for imposing conditions or terminating registration. A student has the right of appeal against a decision to terminate their registration or to allow progression as an MPhil Candidate. Appeals will be conducted in accordance with the Appeals Regulations for Research Students.

#### **Upgrade to PhD**

- 40. The department will make a decision whether to upgrade a student as outlined in the departmental regulations and no later than two years from initial registration.
- 41. Upgrade procedures are agreed by each department but must:
  - 41.1 specify the amount and type of written materials a student is required to produce and indicate the deadlines for submission;
  - 41.2 require the student's written materials to include a clear framework for the research and a timetable for completion;
  - 41.3 provide for an upgrade panel that can include the student's supervisor(s) and must include at least one member of the department who is not directly involved in the student's supervision. Where a student's supervisor is not included on the upgrade panel, their view should be sought before the Panel reaches a decision;
  - 41.4 include an opportunity for the student to defend their written materials orally.
- 42. Students who do not submit work in accordance with the requirements are not eligible for consideration for upgrade and the Doctoral Programme Director will make a recommendation for either de-registration from the degree programme or allowing continued registration with the aim of submission for the degree of MPhil.
- 43. If a student is not upgraded they are entitled to resubmit work and be re-examined under the same principles governing the first attempt within a maximum of six months for a final decision.
- 44. Where a final upgrade attempt is unsuccessful a recommendation will be made either for de-registration or to allow the student to continue in registration with the aim of submission for the degree of MPhil if they so wish. The PhD Academy Deputy Director will inform the student in writing of a final decision, and the reasons for it. Students have the right of appeal against decisions not to upgrade to PhD status in accordance with the Appeals Regulations for Research Students.
- 45. Students not upgraded in accordance with these regulations are not eligible to enter for PhD examination or be considered for PhD award.

#### **Research in Practice placements for research council studentship recipients**

- 46. Where a student is required to complete a Research in Practice placement as a condition of studentship funding from the LSE ESRC Doctoral Training Partnership (DTP), that placement will form an assessed and integral part of the student's required programme of training."

#### **Requirements for all theses submitted for the degrees of MPhil and PhD**

- 47. Most of the work submitted in a thesis must have been done after the initial registration for a research degree at the School, except that in the case of a student accepted under regulation 7 there will be allowance for the fact that their

registration began at another institution.

48. Except where the School has agreed to a collaborative arrangement with another institution, a candidate will not be allowed to submit a thesis which has been submitted for a degree or comparable award of any institution. They may however incorporate in the thesis any work that is the result of previous study, provided that the work which has been incorporated is indicated on the thesis.
49. In addition to the special requirements set out in regulations 51-52 and 53-54 for both the PhD and the MPhil, the thesis will:
- 49.1 consist of the candidate's own account of their investigations. Work already published, either by the candidate or jointly with others, may be included only if it forms an integral part of the thesis and so makes a relevant contribution to its main theme and is in the same format as the rest of the thesis. Irrespective of thesis format (see 49.2 and 49.3), the candidate must clearly state the part they played in any work done jointly with the supervisor(s) and/or fellow researchers;
  - 49.2 consist either of a monograph that forms an integrated whole; or
  - 49.3 consist normally of a series of at least three papers, provided that submission of thesis by papers is permitted by the candidate's department and that the thesis conforms to the department's requirements with regards to format and content.<sup>2</sup>
  - 49.4 be written in English and must be presented in line with published School guidance;
  - 49.5 include a full bibliography and references.
50. For the examination, the candidate will be required to provide the PhD Academy with one electronic copy of their thesis, submitted in accordance with the School's instructions.

#### **Additional requirements for a PhD thesis**

51. The scope of the thesis will be what might reasonably be expected after three or at most four years of full-time study.
52. The thesis will:
- 52.1 form a distinct contribution to the knowledge of the subject and afford evidence of originality by the discovery of new facts and/or by the exercise of independent critical power;
  - 52.2 give a critical assessment of the relevant literature, describe the method of research and its findings, and include a discussion on those findings, indicate in what respects they appear to the candidate to advance the study of the subject; and demonstrate a deep and synoptic understanding of the field of study;
  - 52.3 demonstrate research skills;
  - 52.4 be of a standard to merit publication in whole or in part or in a revised form (for example, as a monograph or as a number of articles in learned journals); and
  - 52.5 not exceed 100,000 words (including footnotes but excluding bibliography and appendices). In appropriate circumstances the Research Degrees Subcommittee Chair may grant permission for a thesis to exceed the normal length.

#### **Additional requirements for an MPhil thesis**

53. The scope of the thesis will be what might reasonably be expected after two or at most three years of full-time study.
54. The thesis will:
- 54.1 be either a record of original work or of an ordered and critical exposition of existing knowledge and will provide evidence that the field has been surveyed thoroughly;
  - 54.2 give a critical assessment of the relevant literature, describe the method of research and its findings, and include a discussion on those findings;
  - 54.3 not exceed 60,000 words (including footnotes but excluding bibliography and appendices). In appropriate circumstances the Research Degrees Subcommittee Chair may grant permission for a thesis to exceed the normal

<sup>2</sup> In case of paper-based theses, department specific exceptions from the requirement of at least three papers must be grounded in the research practice prevalent in the relevant field, documented in department rules and approved of by the RDSC.



length.

### Submission of an MPhil or PhD thesis and examination entry

55. Students will be examined or re-examined in accordance with regulations 56-85 as in force when they apply to enter the examination. They must apply in the form prescribed in the Guidelines for MPhil and PhD Examinations to the PhD Academy who will then initiate the procedure for the appointment of examiners.
56. Students may submit a thesis for examination upon completion of the expected period of registration of three years full time study (or part-time equivalent).
57. Candidates must be registered students at the time of submission.
58. The decision to submit a thesis rests with the candidate alone upon completion of the expected period of registration.
59. Applications for submission before completion of the expected period of registration (three years of full-time registration) require approval of the Chair of the Research Degrees Sub Committee and must meet the following requirements:
  - 59.1 Completion of the minimum permitted period of registration
  - 59.2 Support of the candidate's Academic Department
  - 59.3 Acceptance by the candidate of responsibility for the decision to submit early
60. Only candidates who have been successfully upgraded in accordance with the School's regulations can submit a thesis for the PhD award.
61. When entering the examination candidates will be required to sign the following declaration:
  - 61.1 I certify that the thesis I have presented for examination for the MPhil/PhD degree of the London School of Economics and Political Science is solely my own work other than where I have clearly indicated that it is the work of others (in which case the extent of any work carried out jointly by me and any other person is clearly identified in it).
  - 61.2 I consider the work submitted to be a complete thesis fit for examination.
  - 61.3 I authorise that, if a degree is awarded, an electronic copy of my thesis will be deposited in LSE Theses Online (in accordance with the published deposit agreement) held by the British Library of Political and Economic Science and that, except as provided for in regulation 61 it will be made available for public reference.
  - 61.4 I authorise the School to supply a copy of the abstract of my thesis for inclusion in any published list of theses offered for higher degrees in British universities or in any supplement thereto, or for consultation in any central file of abstracts of such theses.
  - 61.5 I will include the following statement at the beginning of my thesis:
    - (a) The copyright of this thesis rests with the author. Quotation from it is permitted, provided that full acknowledgement is made. In accordance with the Regulations, I have deposited an electronic copy of it in LSE Theses Online held by the British Library of Political and Economic Science and have granted permission for my thesis to be made available for public reference. Otherwise, this thesis may not be reproduced without my prior written consent.
    - (b) I warrant that this authorisation does not, to the best of my belief, infringe the rights of any third party.
62. By submitting the thesis for examination candidates are declaring themselves fit for examination and that the work is ready to go forward for consideration. Theses may not be withdrawn from the examination process by the candidate.
63. A candidate may apply to the Research Degree Subcommittee for restriction of access to their thesis and/or the abstract for a period of up to two years from submission of the final thesis. Such a request can be on the grounds, for example, a publication contract, of commercial exploitation or patenting or for the protection of individuals. The thesis will be placed in the public domain at the expiry of the approved period of restriction of access. In all other circumstances, a thesis will be placed in the public domain immediately after the award of the degree.
64. In exceptional circumstances and prior to the thesis being placed in the public domain, a candidate may apply for an additional period of restriction of access to their thesis or for redaction of parts of the thesis beyond the two-year period specified in para 62. Applications for additional restriction must be made in accordance with the guidance and procedures as laid out by the Research Degrees Sub Committee and the Research Ethics Committee at the time of application

## **MPhil and PhD examinations**

65. The Research Degrees Subcommittee will appoint the examiners, having paid particular attention to their independence, expertise and relevant experience. It will appoint two, or exceptionally three, examiners for each candidate. The candidate's supervisors cannot act as examiners.
66. The examiners are required to be experts in the field of the thesis and to be able to make an independent assessment of the student and the thesis.
67. At least one examiner will be external to LSE (in the exceptional case of three examiners at least two of them will be independent of LSE). The panel of examiners are required to demonstrate that the examination is subject to clear independent oversight of LSE procedures and assessment and that there is sufficient experience of LSE expectations and requirements.
68. Where an examination panel cannot be constituted with sufficient experience of LSE expectations and requirements the department may either:
  - a) Nominate a third examiner with appropriate experience; or
  - b) Nominate a Chair to be appointed from within the academic department to oversee regulations for the examination. The Chair will not take part in academic assessment of the work at viva and their role is limited to ensuring regulatory compliance of the examination process. The candidate's supervisors cannot act as Chair to the examination.
69. Assessment will be by submission of a thesis (as defined above) and an oral examination, which will be conducted in English. In highly exceptional circumstances connected with the candidate and with the permission of the appropriate Pro-Director, the School may suspend the requirement for an oral examination.
70. Candidates must attend for examination at a place and time the School determines. The oral examination may be held at LSE in London or using approved video conferencing facilities.
71. Prior to the examination the examiners will prepare and exchange independent preliminary written reports on the thesis to assist in conducting the oral examination (or the preparation of the joint report in those cases where no oral examination is held).
72. Unless the candidate indicates otherwise on the entry form, the supervisor(s) may attend the oral examination as an observer. The supervisor(s) do(es) not have the right to take part in the examination but may contribute if invited to do so by the examiners with the agreement of the candidate. Otherwise the oral examination will be held in private.
73. After any oral examination, the examiners will prepare a joint final report. It will indicate whether the thesis meets the requirements specified in these regulations and will include a statement of the examiners' reasons for their judgment of the candidate's performance against the criteria. The examiners may, at their discretion, consult the supervisor(s) before completing their report.
74. Copies of the reports will be sent to the PhD Academy who will forward a copy of it to the candidate together with the preliminary reports unless the examiners have specified otherwise.
75. Examiners have the right to make comments in confidence to the appropriate Pro-Director in a separate report. Such comments should not normally be concerned with the performance of the candidate but may cover other matters that they wish to draw to the attention of the School.
76. If the examiners are unable to agree on the result of the examination, the PhD Academy Deputy Director will advise them and if necessary will refer the matter to the Research Degrees Subcommittee Chair, who will determine the action to be taken.

## **Outcome of the PhD examination**

77. The options open to examiners in determining the result of the examination are as follows:
  - 77.1 If the thesis meets the criteria set out in these regulations and the candidate satisfies the examiners in the oral examination, they will recommend that the candidate should be awarded the PhD degree. The thesis may contain minor errors deemed by the examiners to have no academic impact.
  - 77.2 If the thesis otherwise meets the criteria but requires minor amendments, and the candidate satisfies the examiners in the oral examination, they may require them to make amendments they specify. The examiners shall indicate the length of time permitted to the candidate to make the required amendments, which shall not

be longer than six months. The candidate must submit the amended thesis to both examiners or one of their numbers nominated by the examiners for confirmation that the amendments are satisfactory.

77.2.1 Failure to submit minor amendments within the required deadline or submission of revisions which do not satisfy the requirements of the examiners will mean that first attempt at examination is considered unsuccessful. The examiners may then decide to allow a re-entry and resubmission (regulation 77.3), offer award of MPhil (regulation 77.5) or determine that the candidate has not satisfied them in examination for either PhD or MPhil (regulation 77.6).

77.3 If the thesis, though inadequate, seems of sufficient merit to justify such action, the examiners may allow the candidate to re-present it in a revised form for re-examination. They will indicate the length of time they consider the candidate will need to complete the revisions, which shall be between seven and twelve months. Examiners may not, however, make such a decision without having submitted the candidate to an oral examination. The examiners may at their discretion exempt the candidate from a further oral examination. On resubmission of a thesis under this regulation, examiners must reach a final decision according to regulations 77.1, 77.2, 77.4, 77.5 or 77.6.

77.4 If the thesis meets the criteria but the candidate fails to satisfy the examiners at the oral examination, they may allow them to re-present the same thesis and take a further oral examination within a period specified by them not exceeding 12 months.

77.5 If, after completion of the oral examination or re-examination for the PhD, the examiners decide that a candidate has not reached the standard required under regulations 51-52, they will consider whether the thesis does, or might be able to, satisfy the criteria for the award of the MPhil degree. If they so decide, they will submit a report that shows either how the criteria for the MPhil degree are met or what action the student needs to take to meet them. Examiners will have discretion to waive the thesis length for the MPhil degree if appropriate. Thereafter the following conditions and procedures will apply:

- (a) The candidate will be told that they have been unsuccessful in the examination for the PhD degree, but has reached the standard required for the award of the MPhil, or with amendment to the thesis may be able to satisfy the criteria for the MPhil.
- (b) A candidate considered for the award of the MPhil degree under this regulation will not be required to submit the thesis, as required under the regulations for the MPhil degree, or to undergo a further oral examination, but will be required to fulfil the requirements for the MPhil in all other respects.
- (c) A candidate offered an MPhil degree under these regulations must make any amendments the examiners require within a period they specify, but not exceeding twelve months. The candidate must submit the amended thesis to the examiners who will decide whether they have completed the amendments to their satisfaction.

77.6 The examiners may determine that the candidate has not satisfied them in the examination for either the MPhil or the PhD. They will not, however, save in very exceptional circumstances, make such a decision without having submitted the candidate to an oral examination.

78. Students have the right of appeal against a decision taken under regulations 77.3, 77.4, 77.5 or 77.6, in accordance with the Appeals Regulations for Research Students.

### Outcome of the MPhil examination

79. The options open to examiners in determining the result of the examination are as follows:

79.1 If the thesis meets the criteria set out in these regulations and the candidate satisfies the examiners in the examination, they will recommend that the candidate should be awarded the degree of MPhil. The thesis may contain minor errors deemed by the examiners to have no academic impact.

79.2 If the thesis otherwise meets the criteria but requires minor amendments and the candidate satisfies the examiners in the examination, they may require them to make amendments they specify. The examiners shall indicate the length of time permitted to the candidate to make the required amendments, which shall not be longer than six months. The candidate must submit the amended thesis to both examiners or one of their numbers nominated by the examiners for confirmation that the amendments are satisfactory.

79.2.1 Failure to submit revisions within the required deadline or submission of revisions which do not satisfy the requirements of the examiner will mean that first attempt at examination is considered unsuccessful. The examiners may then decide to allow a re-entry and resubmission (regulation 77.3), or determine that the candidate has not satisfied them in examination for either PhD or MPhil (regulation 77.6).

79.3 If the thesis, though inadequate, seems of sufficient merit to justify such action, the examiners may allow the candidate to re-present it in a revised form. In such circumstances, they will indicate the length of time they





consider they will need to complete the revisions, which shall be between seven and twelve months. Examiners may not, however, make such a decision without having submitted the candidate to an oral examination. The examiners may at their discretion exempt the candidate from a further oral examination. On resubmission of a thesis under this regulation, examiners must reach a final decision according to regulations 79.1, 79.2 or 79.5.

- 79.4 If the thesis meets the criteria but the candidate fails to satisfy the examiners at the oral examination, they may allow them to re-present the same thesis, and undergo a further oral examination within a period specified by them not exceeding 12 months.
- 79.5 The examiners may determine that the candidate has not satisfied them in the examination for the MPhil degree. They will not, however, save in very exceptional circumstances make such a decision without having submitted the candidate to an oral examination.
80. Students have the right of appeal against a decision taken under regulations 79.3, 79.4 or 79.5, in accordance with the Appeals Regulations for Research Students.

#### **Notification of award of MPhil and PhD**

81. After the examiners have confirmed their decision, the PhD Academy will notify the candidate of the result. The degree will not be awarded until the candidate has provided a copy of the successful thesis, in accordance with regulation 61.3.
82. The PhD Academy will send a certificate to each candidate who has been awarded a degree. It will bear the names of the candidate in the form in which they appear in the School's records at the date of issue.
83. Where the authorities of the School notify the PhD Academy that the student has not settled or made acceptable arrangements to settle any account outstanding, the Deputy Director of the PhD Academy will withhold certification until the same authority certifies that the student has made payment in full.
84. The Academic Registrar may withhold the result of the examination for any student who is the subject of investigation under the School's disciplinary or other procedures.

#### **Revocation of award**

85. The Council or Director may revoke an award made under these regulations as permitted by the Bye-laws and Regulations of the School.

---

See the [Calendar](#) for further information about Programme Regulations, Course Guides, School and Academic Regulations.