The impact of herbivory-induced plant stress on flowers and flower feeding insects

M. S. Chan, H. Ronan-Brown, S. A. Campbell

School of Biosciences, The University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield





Tissue

flowe

leaf



Background

- While herbivore-plant interactions are well studied, effects of herbivory stress on flowers and flower-feeding insects are still poorly understood.
- Optimal defence theory (ODT) posits that plant tissues are defended relative to the fitness value and vulnerability to herbivory,¹ e.g. flower with crucial reproductive function should be better defended.

Results

Bioassay 1 –

Larvae show *preference for flowers* over leaves regardless of treatment.



Bioassay 2 – <u>Larvae preferentially feed on SI flowers regardless</u> of treatment. This suggests that SI flowers may have a lower level

 Despite feeding preference, <u>larval growth is lower on</u> <u>flowers than leaves.</u>

- Ecological trade-offs between reproduction and defence, mean that plants with high defence may experience reduced pollination when defences are expressed in flowers.²
- Here we investigated the effect of herbivory on leaves and flowers; the regulation of floral defences in response to herbivory in self-fertilising and obligately insectpollinated individuals.

Research questions:

Q1: What is the preference pattern for different tissues?Q2: Do pollinator-reliant plants have lower defences?Q3: Which tissue is better defended against herbivory?

Methods

- Two populations of the wild tomato Solanum pennellii: self-fertilising (SC) and obligately insect-pollinated (SI).
- The plants were treated with the endogenous plant hormone methyl jasmonate (MeJA), which was used to mimic the plant's response to herbivory.³
- Defence in leaves and flowers were measured 72 hours post-induction using chemical analysis (HPLC).
- Choice bioassays with Manduca sexta, a specialist herbivore,⁴ were also conducted at the same time.

of defence than SC flowers.



<u>The anther cone had significantly higher concentrations of</u> phenolic compounds than the corolla.





Reference:

McCall, A.C., Fordyce, J.A., 2010. Journal of Ecology 98, 985–992. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01693.x</u>
 Jacobsen, D.J., Raguso, R.A., 2018. Current Biology 28, R1164–R1169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.010</u>
 Baldwin, I.T., Hamilton, W., 2000. J Chem Ecol 26, 915–952. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005408208826</u>
 Byron, M.A., Gillett-Kaufman, J.L., 2020.ask IFAS. URL <u>https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/publication/IN1187</u> (accessed 11.13.23).

Conclusion

Q1: Larvae prefer to feed on flowers, despite lower growth.
Q2: SI flowers were preferred suggesting lower defences.
Q3: Floral defence is mainly driven by the anther cone, which is the most important tissue, containing pollen.

Further study:

- Separate analysis of each secondary metabolite found in the tissue to determine the effect of each metabolite.
- Test function of each metabolite e.g. attraction or deterrence.

Acknowledgement

Sincere thank you to the staff members of Arthur Willis Environment Centre (AWEC) and the Campbell lab of the University of Sheffield for their help and encouragement throughout this project.



<u>Contact</u> Agnes Man Sum Chan Email : <u>mschan1@sheffield.ac.uk</u> Linkedin: <u>linkedin.com/in/agnes-man-</u> <u>sum-chan-233b79203</u> Dr Stuart Campbell Email: <u>stuart.campbell@sheffield.ac.uk</u>