Relationship between the share of women GPs

and patient satisfaction: a case for gender equality^{*}

in the future health care workplace

Danil Agafiev Macambira, Gabrielle Lee[†], Yannick Kauffmann,

Zining Yuan, Rayan Gherib, Jonathan Lee[‡]

June, 2019

- Gabrielle Lee, Philosophy, Politics and Economics, LSE, g.lee13@lse.ac.uk;
- Yannick Kauffmann, Management, LSE, Y.Kauffmann@lse.ac.uk;
- Zining Yuan, Financial Mathematics and Statistics, LSE, z.yuan9@lse.ac.uk;
- Rayan Gherib, Management, LSE, R.Gherib@lse.ac.uk;

^{*}Gender equality and gender balance are used interchangeably in this paper; they all imply adequate female representation (where the 'adequate' level equals the level in the counterfactual world where gender per se does not influence representation).

[†]Corresponding author: Gabrielle Lee, g.lee13@lse.ac.uk

[‡]Danil Agafiev Macambira, Economics, LSE, D.F.Agafiev-Macambira@lse.ac.uk;

Jonathan Lee, Actuarial Science, LSE, J.Lee68@lse.ac.uk;

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Yan Wang (y.wang149@lse.ac.uk), Department of Sociology, LSE, for her supervision of this research project; Dr Ellis Saxey, Teaching and Learning Centre, LSE, for allowing us to carry out such meaningful research; and all the other supervisors and participants of LSE GROUPS conferences, for their helpful feedback.

Abstract

The health sector is a large area of growth in the labour market and is predicted to grow even more¹. In the meantime, as the workplace in other sectors is becoming more gender-equal, the health sector seems to lag behind. These two considerations motivate us to investigate the relationship between gender balance in the health sector in England and quality of care, which has not yet been widely studied. In our research, we use patient satisfaction as a proxy for quality of care. We adopt a fixed-effects regression model to examine the correlation between the share of women GPs and patient satisfaction rates, in 7915 NHS England GP practices, from 2013 to 2017. Controlling for practical and patient characteristics, we find a positive correlation between both variables of interest. The relationship can be fitted to an inverted U-shaped curve, with the optimal share of women GP being between 0.51 and 0.57 (depending on measure of satisfaction). We conclude with the suggestion that gender balance improves health outcomes in terms of patient satisfaction, and with the hope that our research gives more evidence for the importance of gender equality in the future workplace.

Keywords: gender equality, GP practices, NHS England, patient satisfaction, future workplace

1 Introduction

We study whether there is a positive relationship between gender balance and patient satisfaction levels in 7915 NHS England GP practices, for 5 consecutive years (2013-17), using a fixed-effects regression model.

1.1 Motivations

There is a body of literature about the relationship between gender balance and workplace performance, but not much in the health care sector (in which case workplace performance should be represented as patient satisfaction, mortality rates for operations, living quality improvements, etc.); given that health care is crucial to everyone, and that health care is likely to grow into an even larger sector

¹See, e.g., Pissarides, 2018; LSE Growth Commission, (2017)

in the future labour market (especially with an ageing population), research in the area is needed.

A large amount of similar studies have been carried out in the business sector. Dezso & Ross (2012) find that female representation in top management brings beneficial informational and social diversity to the top management team, enriches the behaviour exhibited by managers throughout the firm, and motivates women in middle management; this implies improved managerial task performance and therefore firm performance. Zhang (2017) finds that the conjunction of gender balance and normative acceptance of gender diversity in a country or industry implies higher firm valuation in the market and higher firm revenue. Such research on the substantive representation of women² and their important findings, and the lack of literature on studies of the same nature in health care in the UK, and the importance of health care for all citizens and in the future labour market, inspire us to write this paper on gender ratio and patient satisfaction.

1.2 Choice of subject of investigation

There is some literature in the US on topics of this nature, but not so much in the UK. Given the importance of research in this area to welfare, equality, and the future workplace, we hope to provoke further research by carrying out initial investigation.

Furthermore, the NHS is gender-unequal. There was a hourly gender pay gap of 22% in NHS England³. Also, higher ranks see fewer women: only 35% of consultants are women⁴. (We choose to study GPs rather than consultants only because we lack data on consultants.)

 $^{^{2}}$ Substantive representation refers to the substantive effects of having more women in the sector in question.

³NHS England Gender Pay Report, 2018

⁴General Medical Council, 2019,

https://data.gmc-uk.org/gmcdata/home//reports/The%20Register/Stats/report

We also have the practical consideration that NHS data are accessible enough to allow us to produce this research in two weeks, without waiting for data retrieval.

1.3 Methodology

We adopt a fixed-effects regression model to examine the correlation between the share of women GPs and patient satisfaction rates, in 7915 NHS England GP practices, from 2013 to 2017.

1.4 Key findings

Controlling for a whole set of factors, we find a positive correlation between the share of women GP in a practice and patient satisfaction for the same practice. We see three obvious relationships evaluated at x=0.5:

- (i) A 1% increase in the share of women GPs in a practice is associated with a 1.6% increase in the share of respondents who had a good overall experience with their GP, ceteris paribus.
- (ii) A 1% increase in the share of women GPs in a practice is associated with a 3.3% increase in the share of respondents who believe their GP treated them with care and concern, ceteris paribus.
- (iii) A 1% increase in the share of women GPs in a practice is associated with a 3.3% increase in the share of respondents who believe their GP sufficiently involved them in decisions about their health care, ceteris paribus.

1.5 Implications

Our aim is purely to give more evidence for the importance of gender equality and more specifically women representation, in the health care sector, from the perspective of aggregate welfare. We intentionally refrain from giving complete policy suggestions in this paper. Normative judgments on public policy deserve papers on their own, should be left to moral and political philosophers, and are beyond the scope of our paper.

Indeed, we note that aggregate welfare may not be the sole consideration when formulating public policy; other criteria such as realising social/distributive justice⁵, promoting ethos⁶, the will of the people, may be important as well (if not more). We shall not assign weights to each value where they conflict; that is what political philosophers do when constructing a theory of justice⁷.

On this particular topic, many already subscribe to equality of opportunity between genders, and equality can be an intrinsic good; we wish to challenge the zero-sum view that more equality may give lower aggregate utility, by giving a further argument that more women representation is instrumentally good for all.

2 Literature Review

We review the literature of gender effects on physicians' performance. Many recent studies have focused on the topic of gender performance in the health care sector. The studies first focused on the disparities between the proceedings of male and female physicians, to eventually shift to the results. Overall it has been observed that women engage differently with patients and tend to have slightly better results than men.

⁵For a discussion of the primacy of justice, see Rawls's (1999) seminal work; cf. Sandel (1998) for his seminal communitarian critique. For what social justice conceptually entails, see Rawls (1999); cf. Nozick (1974), Cohen (2009); for an excellent critical overview, see Barry (1989).

⁶What theory best constructs an institution conducive to a sense of ethos and love is discussed in the philosophy literature. See Rawls (1999), Kymlicka (2002); cf. Sandel (1998).

⁷A theory of justice, as Rawls (1999) notes, prescribes 'the appropriate distribution of the benefits and burdens of social cooperation'.

2.1 Female physicians are more patient-oriented

Bertakis et al. (1995) demonstrate that female physicians engage in more preventive assistance and communicate more adequately with their patients; they also achieve a higher satisfaction score.

Similarly, Krupat et al. (2000) find that female physicians are more patientcentred and that patient-centredness correlates with patient satisfaction.

Further, meta-analysis (Roter, Hall, and Aoki 2002) confirms the two previous studies and adds that female primary care physicians engage in more communication than their fellow male colleagues. Additionally, consultations with patients are two minutes longer with female physicians on average, without regard to the content or the quality of the time spent.

2.2 Female physicians follow clinical guidelines more closely

Women tend to adhere to the recommended doses of medicine more closely than men. Baumhäkel, Müller, and Böhm (2009) show that when treating heart failure, male physicians tend to administer looser doses to female patients than suggested by clinical guidelines. This also shows that male physicians take more risks. Tsugawa et al. (2017) find similar results studying elderly hospitalised patients.

2.3 Where our study is situated in the literature

The aforementioned studies show that women GPs follow clinical guidelines more closely and are more patient-oriented; this can lead to lower mortality and readmission rates.

These findings, mostly in the US, inspire us to conduct studies on NHS England. Our study will try to find similar results using data from the NHS. Our research will focus on aggregate-level performance without diving into individual performances. We assume that individual performance and group performance are highly correlated.

Some may argue that the optimum would be to have 100% of female physicians. This is not what our study is concluding; other external factors give a peak performance at gender balance in the share of employees.

3 Sample and Methodology

This study tests the hypothesis that an increase in the share of women GPs is associated with an increase in patient satisfaction, ceteris paribus, by analysing data drawn from the NHS England database.

3.1 GP Patient Survey⁸ (GPPS)

The GPPS is an independent survey run by Ipsos MORI and developed in collaboration with the University of Cambridge and the Medical department of the University of Exeter, on behalf of NHS England. The survey is sent out to over two million people across England every year with an average response rate of 38% over its nine years of existence.

"Patients were eligible for inclusion in the survey if they had a valid NHS number, had been registered with a GP practice continuously for at least six months before being selected, and were 18 years of age or over. A number of checks were made on the supplied names and addresses to remove inappropriate records. The sample size was determined for each practice to deliver a likely confidence interval of 9.0 percentage points (two-tailed, at the 95% level) in the majority of practices on a question where it was assumed that 50% of the respondents will

⁸http://www.gp-patient.co.uk/surveysandreports

respond one way and 50% will respond another." The surveyors thus adopted random sampling for each practice to ensure perfect population representation.⁹ Table 2 displays this balance (compared to ONS statistics).

Respondents were asked 63 questions about their GP practice, including questions about their last appointment, their health conditions, and their personal characteristics. From these questions, we have selected eight which we believe to reliably measure a GP's 'quality' of care:

Label	Survey Question
gpcare	Rating of GP treating you with care and concern (% answered
	"Good")
gpinvolve	Rating of GP involving you in decisions about your care ($\%$
	answered "Good")
gptime	Rating of GP giving you enough time (% answered "Good")
gplisten	Rating of GP listening to you (% answered "Good")
gpexpl	Rating of GP explaining tests and treatments (% answered
	"Good")
gptrust	Confidence and trust in GP (% answered "Yes")
gpoverall	Overall experience of GP surgery (% answered "Good")
gprecrate	Recommending GP surgery to someone who has just moved
or	to the local area (% answered "Yes")

Table 1: Dependent Variables

We also use the self-described patient characteristics as control variables to get a more precise estimate of the correlation between the share of women GPs in each practice, and patient satisfaction (see Appendix for more details).

⁹GP Patient Survey – Technical Annex, 2018:

http://www.gp-patient.co.uk/surveysandreports

3.2 General Practice Workforce Series¹⁰ (GPWS)

The general practice data shows numbers and details of GPs, Nurses, Direct Patient Care and Admin/Non-Clinical staff working in General Practices in England, along with information on their practices, staff, patients, and the services they provide. It is collected yearly and holds information (on average) on 7915 practices in England. This dataset gives us our independent variable:

shrwgp | Share of women GPs in a practice

It also gives us more control variables. Overall, we get the following control variables, which gives us a representative sample based on ONS statistics, using 31 735 observations.

 $^{^{10}\ \}rm https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/general-and-personal-medical-services$

Label	Description	Mean	Min	Max
ccgid	CCG id (Clinical Commissioning Groups	-	-	-
	are clinically-led statutory NHS bodies re-			
	sponsible for the planning and commission-			
	ing of health care services for their local			
	area)			
regid	NHS England Commissioning Region Code	-	-	-
totpat	Total headcount of patients for each prac-	7440	0	61083
	tice			
shrwpat	Share of women patients for each practice	0.495	0	0.85
shrwhite	Share of respondents who answer 'any	0.838	0	1
	"white" background'			
implongwait	Share of respondents who answer 'I have to	0.091	0	0.675
	wait far too long'			
smoker	Share of respondents who smoke regularly	0.175	0	0.942
	and/or occasionally			
parent	Share of respondents who are parents	0.264	0	0.755
unemp	Share of respondents who are unemployed	0.057	0	0.654
age55	Share of respondents who are older than 55	0.375	0	1
hc	Share of respondents who have a long-term	0.537	0.189	0.987
	health condition			

 Table 2: Control Variables

3.3 Our regression model: Fixed effects panel data

Using these two datasets, we have been able to create panel data with 31,735 observations. To study this data, we have chosen a fixed effects model. There is strong evidence to reject the null hypothesis that the variation across practices is random and uncorrelated with our independent variables. Fixed-effects models control for all time-invariant and entity-invariant differences between and across GP practices so the estimated coefficients of the fixed-effects models cannot be biased because of omitted time-invariant characteristics. This is effective in controlling for a lot of idiosyncrasies, especially for the ones that we do not or cannot observe.

We use the following model:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_{it} + \beta_1 shrwgp_{it} + \beta_2 shrwgp_{it} + \gamma_i + \delta_t + controls_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$
(1)

where:

i	= practice (i = 1 to 7915)
t	= year (t = 1 to 5 with 1 representing 2013)
Y_{it}	= dependent variable, i.e. our survey questions
α_{it}	= intercept for each practice in each year
$shrwgp_{it}$	= independent variable, i.e. share of women GP for each practice in each year
$shrwgp2_{it}$	= independent variable squared
β_i	= coefficient, i.e. ceteris paribus relationship between Y_{it} and $shrwgp_{it}$
γ_i	= practice level fixed effect term, i.e. characteristics invariant across practices
δ_t	= year fixed effect term, i.e. characteristics that are invariant across years
$controls_{it}$	= our controls (see Table 2)
ϵ_{it}	= error term

4 Results and Discussion

In this section, we examine our data.





The average share of women in NHS England GP practices has decreased from 2014 to 2015 but has steadily increased from 2015 to 2017 (Fig. 1). The table, which depicts the average share of women GPs, suggests that the optimum has not been reached yet even though improvement has been made in the past five years. Indeed the share of female GPs used to be 44.6% in 2013 and despite the decrease to 40.9% in 2015, the overall trend is a slight increase to eventually 46.6% in 2017.

Table 3 displays the output we obtained by running the regression model (1). We have chosen to focus our discussion only on *gpcare*, *gpinvolve*, and *gpoverall*. Our rationale is that *gpoverall* will give us the overall relationship between the share of women GPs in a practice and the overall satisfaction rate of the same practice, and *gpinvolve* and *gpcare* are representative enough of the patient-oriented characteristic of women GPs, based on what previous papers found.

Our empirical findings suggest a positive correlation between the share of women GP in a practice and patient satisfaction for the same practice. For these three outcomes, we see the following, here evaluated at x=0.5:

- (i) A 1% increase in the share of women GPs in a practice is associated with a 1.6% increase in the share of respondents who had a good overall experience with their GP, ceteris paribus.
- (ii) A 1% increase in the share of women GPs in a practice is associated with a 3.3% increase in the share of respondents who believe their GP treated them with care and concern, ceteris paribus.
- (iii) A 1% increase in the share of women GPs in a practice is associated with a 3.3% increase in the share of respondents who believe their GP sufficiently involved them in decisions about their health care, ceteris paribus.

These results are statistically significant at the 1% level for *gpcare* and *gpinvolve* and at the 5% level for *gpoverall*.

The first conclusion is clear: the share of women GPs in a practice mostly correlates with how well a GP involves a patient in decision-making, and how much care a GP gives a patient. This is coherent with the literature; at an individual GP level, female GPs are more patient-oriented than their male counterparts, engaging in more preventive assistance and communicating more adequately with their patients (Bertakis et al., 1995; Roter, Hall, and Aoki, 2002).

		0	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
	gpcare	gpinvolve	gpoverall
shrwgp	0.033***	0.033***	0.016^{**}
	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.006)
1 0	0.000***	0 000***	0.015*
shrwgp2	-0.029^{++++}	-0.029***	-0.015*
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.006)
totpat	-0.000*	-0.000***	-0 000***
totpat	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
	()	()	()
ccgid	0.000	0.000	-0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
• 1	0.000	0.004	0.001
regid	-0.022	-0.034	-0.024
	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.023)
hc	0 031***	0 044***	0.013*
ne	(0.001)	(0.007)	(0,006)
	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.000)
shrwpat	0.005	0.057	0.133^{***}
-	(0.036)	(0.040)	(0.038)
shrwhite	0.010	-0.004	0.022*
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.009)
implongwait	0 264***	0 975***	0.466***
mplongwait	(0.204)	(0.010)	(0.010)
	(0.003)	(0.010)	(0.010)
smoker	-0.015^{*}	0.005	0.015^{*}
	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.007)
parent	0.048***	0.052***	0.036***
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.006)
unomp	0 026**	0 044***	0 062***
unemp	(0.030)	(0.044)	(0.008)
	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.011)
age55	0.089***	0.074^{***}	0.066***
0	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.008)
	` '	· /	、 /
_cons	0.824^{***}	0.763^{***}	0.837^{***}
	(0.043)	(0.050)	(0.056)
N $$	31735	31735	31735

Table 3: Regression table

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Table with remaining dependent variables found in appendix

However, as the relationship between shrwgp and patient satisfaction outcomes seem to follow an inverted-U shape, we must also consider how the relationship changes as the share of women GPs increases. Figures 1, 2 & 3 graph this relationship (no controls included) and show our second conclusion: there is an 'optimal'¹¹ gender ratio given by the maximum of the quadratic function. Values 0.565, 0.569 and 0.557 were found to be the optimal ratios when using gpcare, gpinvolve and gpoverall respectively (all are statistically significant at the 1% level). This confirms the literature's suggestion that the optimum is not to go towards either extreme levels of gender balance and that gender-balanced workplaces lead to more commitment from employees (Olafsdottir and Einarsdottir, 2016).

To explain the relationship, one of our hypotheses, inspired by Zhang's (2017)¹² study, is that the conjunction of gender balance and normative acceptance of gender diversity in an organisation implies better performance. Zhang argues that stakeholders 'value normatively accepted practices' and 'penalize practices that fall outside the normative expectation' (Westphal and Zajac 1998; Zajac and Westphal 2004; Zuckerman, 1999). In our context, the UK does have social awareness and acceptance of gender equality, and so deviations from that means stakeholders become less satisfied.

Another explanation could be familiarity with the same group of people (Van Knippenberg and Schippers, 2007). In our case, we posit that around half of the entire population is female, whose needs may be (perceived to be) better understood. Hence the optimal value of around 50%.

Figure 1 suggests that the optimum interval has not been reached yet even though improvement has been made over the past two years.

¹¹Optimal here used in the mathematical sense; strictly speaking, we found a global maximum

¹²Zhang's study discusses organisational performance, with a main focus on corporate performance; Zhang's explanation, however, can still be invoked here, as the psychological effects should be the same.

Figure 2: Share of Women GPs vs. Rating of GP treating you with care and concern



Figure 3: Share of Women GPs vs. Rating of GP involving you in decisions about your care



Figure 4: Share of Women GPs vs. Overall experience of GP surgery



However, there are limitations to our findings. The first issue is that our model explains only 10.1% of total variation (average R-squared is 0.107), meaning that we have not been able to explain or control for everything. The coefficient on *gpoverall* confirms this intuition: the share of women GPs is less correlated with the overall experience, compared to more precise survey questions. Part of this limitation comes from the use of survey data, as patient responses on GP 'quality' might be biased due to uncorrelated factors such as weather or the impression of waiting a long time in a waiting room ¹³. We have tried to control for as many of these uncorrelated variables as possible, but it is very likely we have omitted some.

Furthermore, surveys are subjective interpretations of the 'quality' of care of a GP. A patient cannot or does not know how to measure ¹⁴, and the GP patient

¹³look up the reliability of surveys by James Dean Brown

 $^{^{14}}$ It is very difficult for patients to feel or understand the small variations in the amount of medicine injected (Baumhäkel, Müller, and Böhm, 2009)

survey does not ask more precise questions such as "How cautious is your GP?" or "Did your GP follow medical standards accurately?". This means that the survey results might not provide an accurate measure of 'quality'.

It follows from this last point that a different approach to this question might yield different results, and might make the argument for gender balance more convincing. A first basic improvement would be to study this question at the individual level, something we were not able to do as the GPPS does not mention the gender of the GP being evaluated. A more granulated panel data would most likely give more precise estimates. A second improvement would be to use a more comprehensive operationalisation of 'quality of care' such as the RAND methodology (2001), taking into account survey questions, health care outcomes in headcounts and expert opinion.

5 Conclusion

We have found a positive correlation between gender balance and patient satisfaction, using a fixed-effect regression model. The relationship can be fitted to an inverted U-shaped curve, with the optimal share of women GPs being between 0.51 and 0.57 (depending on the measure of satisfaction). We conclude with the suggestion that gender balance improves patient satisfaction, and with the hope that our research gives more evidence for the importance of gender equality in the future workplace.

We also suggest that all doctors - male or female or others - be further trained to adhere more closely to clinical guidelines, and also in expressing empathy/care for patients (which are currently lacking in clinical training), given what we now know about the positive impact.

As we acknowledge the limitations of our model and the data we obtained, we also hope that this research can provoke interest in further studies, which could further the cause of gender equality in the workplace and beyond.

References

- Brian Barry. Theories of justice: a treatise on social justice. Vol. 16. Univ of California Press, 1989.
- [2] Magnus Baumhäkel, Ulrike Müller, and Michael Böhm. "Influence of gender of physicians and patients on guideline-recommended treatment of chronic heart failure in a cross-sectional study". In: *European journal of heart failure* 11.3 (2009), pp. 299–303.
- [3] Klea D Bertakis et al. "The influence of gender on physician practice style." In: *Medical care* (1995).
- [4] Gerald Allan Cohen. *Rescuing justice and equality*. Harvard University Press, 2009.
- [5] Cristian L Dezsö and David Gaddis Ross. "Does female representation in top management improve firm performance? A panel data investigation". In: Strategic Management Journal 33.9 (2012), pp. 1072–1089.
- [6] Kathryn Fitch et al. The RAND/UCLA appropriateness method user's manual. Tech. rep. RAND CORP SANTA MONICA CA, 2001.
- [7] Edward Krupat et al. "The practice orientations of physicians and patients: the effect of doctor-patient congruence on satisfaction". In: *Patient education* and counseling 39.1 (2000), pp. 49–59.
- [8] Will Kymlicka et al. Contemporary political philosophy: An introduction. oxford: oxford University Press, 2002.
- [9] LSE Growth Commission. UK Growth: A New Chapter. 2017.
- [10] Robert Nozick. Anarchy, state, and utopia. Vol. 5038. New York: Basic Books, 1974.

- Katrin Olafsdottir and Arney Einarsdottir. MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD WORK TOGETHER - NOT APART. English. Copyright - Copyright University of Zagreb, Faculty of Economics and Business Jun 8-Jun 11, 2016; Document feature - Tables; ; Diagrams; Last updated - 2016-09-01. June 2016. URL: https://search.proquest.com/docview/1815354363?accountid= 9630.
- [12] Christopher Antoniou Pissarides. A roadmap for the future of work. June 2018. URL: https://www.ifow.org/news/2018/6/13/a-roadmap-forthe-future-of-work.
- [13] John Rawls. A theory of justice. Harvard university press, 1999.
- [14] Debra L Roter, Judith A Hall, and Yutaka Aoki. "Physician gender effects in medical communication: a meta-analytic review". In: Jama 288.6 (2002), pp. 756–764.
- [15] Michael J Sandel, T Anne, et al. Liberalism and the Limits of Justice. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [16] Yusuke Tsugawa et al. "Comparison of hospital mortality and readmission rates for Medicare patients treated by male vs female physicians". In: JAMA internal medicine 177.2 (2017), pp. 206–213.
- [17] Daan Van Knippenberg and Michaela C Schippers. "Work group diversity".
 In: Annu. Rev. Psychol. 58 (2007), pp. 515–541.
- [18] James D Westphal and Edward J Zajac. "The symbolic management of stockholders: Corporate governance reforms and shareholder reactions". In: (1998).
- [19] Edward J Zajac and James D Westphal. "The social construction of market value: Institutionalization and learning perspectives on stock market reactions". In: American sociological review 69.3 (2004), pp. 433–457.

- [20] Letian Zhang. "An Institutional Approach to the Effect of Gender Diversity on Organizational Performance". In: Academy of Management Proceedings. Vol. 2017. 1. Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510. 2017, p. 11463.
- [21] Ezra W Zuckerman. "The categorical imperative: Securities analysts and the illegitimacy discount". In: American journal of sociology 104.5 (1999), pp. 1398–1438.

Appendix

		0			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
_	gptime	gpexpl	gprecrate	gplisten	$\operatorname{gptrust}$
shrwgp	0.026^{***}	0.027^{***}	0.027^{***}	0.026^{***}	0.014^{**}
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.005)
1 0	0.000***	0.005****		0.000***	0 01 1**
shrwgp2	-0.026***	-0.025***	-0.025**	-0.023***	-0.014**
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.005)
totnat	-0 000***	-0 000***	-0 000***	-0 000***	-0 000***
totpat	(0,000)	(0,000)	(0,000)	(0,000)	(0,000)
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
ccgid	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
0	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
	()	()	()	()	()
regid	-0.026	-0.031	-0.013	-0.027	-0.019
	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.014)
hc	0.026***	0.031***	0.012	0.014^{*}	0.011^{*}
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.005)
aburrat	0.097**	0.074*	0 910***	0.046	0.029
snrwpat	(0.087)	0.074	(0.210)	(0.040)	(0.052)
	(0.030)	(0.034)	(0.054)	(0.029)	(0.022)
shrwhite	0.012	-0.002	0.037***	0.007	0.003
	(0,009)	(0,010)	(0.011)	(0,009)	(0.007)
	(0.000)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.000)	(0.001)
implongwait	-0.243***	-0.241***	-0.535***	-0.224***	-0.162***
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.012)	(0.008)	(0.007)
smoker	0.011	0.017^{*}	0.026^{**}	-0.004	-0.004
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.007)	(0.005)
norm	0 040***	0 05 4***	0 094***	0 040***	0 097***
parent	$(0.048)^{-1}$	(0.004^{-1})	$(0.034^{\circ\circ})$	$(0.048)^{-1}$	(0.027)
	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.005)
unemp	0.045***	0.055***	0.062***	0.025^{*}	0.019*
ununp	(0.010)	(0.000)	(0.002)	(0.020)	(0.010)
	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.000)
age55	0.061***	0.084***	0.044***	0.066***	0.053^{***}
0	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.007)	(0.006)
	()	(/	(-)	()	()
_cons	0.842^{***}	0.819^{***}	0.701^{***}	0.883^{***}	0.935^{***}
	(0.043)	(0.044)	(0.046)	(0.043)	(0.034)
N	31735	31734	31735	31735	31735

Table 4: Regression table

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001