Prevent duty monitoring Accountability and data return 2018

Provider: The London School of Economics and Political Science

UKPRN: 10004063

If you have any queries please contact prevent@officeforstudents.org.uk. For technical queries, for example how to upload the template, please contact dfaprevent@officeforstudents.org.uk.

Notes

- 1. This is a mandatory return.
- 2. The data to be submitted should cover the year from 1 August 2017 to 31 July 2018.
- 3. Providers are able to provide further contextualisation of their data through the free text boxes e.g. role types identified within number of key staff identified for training. However this is limited to 250 words per section.
- 4. Guidance on data to be provided in each section can be found below:

Welfare

- i) This refers to cases which have been 'actively managed' i.e. that a provider has taken action in response to a welfare concern/need. This would normally include referrals reported to and managed by central student services or being managed by a designated safeguarding or welfare lead. This refers to both students and staff. This does not include self-referrals or other referrals where no action has been taken. This provides some information and assurance that your welfare processes are being implemented in the absence of any Prevent-related concerns.
- ii) This refers to cases reported to your Prevent lead (or appropriate group or committee where this does not reflect your referral process). This provides some information and assurance that your welfare processes are being implemented.
- iii) This refers to where you have sought advice and information from a multi-agency partner for a Prevent-related case e.g. DfE co-ordinator. This provides some information and assurance that your welfare processes are being implemented, and you are working with Prevent-partners effectively.
- iv) This refers to where you have made a formal referral to the Channel process regarding a case. This provides some information and assurance that your welfare processes are being implemented and the appropriate referral pathways with multi-agency partners are in place.

External Speakers & Events

- i) The total number of events/speakers approved through your external speakers and events process i.e. not related to the academic curriculum. Note: you have the choice of providing an exact figure, or an estimate to the nearest 10. This provides contextualisation of the other data provided on events and speakers.
- ii) This refers to the number of events/speakers that have required some form of mitigation related to Prevent (or associated free speech) following a risk assessment as part of the speaker process.
- iii) This refers to the number of events/speakers that have required a decision by the highest decision maker within the process i.e. where the request has been escalated through the process. This provides information that the process is being implemented and concerns escalated where necessary.
- iv) This refers to the number of events that have not been approved through the process. This should include decisions on risk and on process. This will help inform how a provider is balancing its other legal duties in respect of Prevent.

Training

The number of staff reported in this section of the return should be returned as a headcount number.

- i) The current number of staff the provider has identified as key in relation to Prevent. This provides further contextualisation of data submitted.
- ii) Training related to their Prevent role or responsibility. This provides assurance that key staff are receiving training on the duty.
- iii) Refresher training related to their Prevent role or responsibility. This provides assurance that key staff continue to have skills and knowledge to support their role/responsibility.
- iv) The number of staff being made aware through guidance, advice or instruction. This provides assurance that staff are able to use relevant policies or are being sign-posted to key staff etc.

Validation checks

Within this document there will be a range of technical validation checks, which will ensure consistency of how the spreadsheet is populated, for example: if a provider inputs that the number of key staff that received training during the period is higher than the total number of key staff, this will not pass a validation check.

1.Please ensure you have selected whether the total number of events/speakers is an estimate or an actual figure in the 'Submission_Dec18' sheet.

2. The sum of the number of staff who received initial training and those who received refresher training would not be expected to be greater than the number of key staff.

Validation passed

3. The number of staff identified as key to Prevent delivery would not be expected to be zero.

Validation passed

Prevent duty monitoring Accountability and data return 2018

Provider: The London School of Economics and Political Science

UKPRN: 10004063

In all cases this data should cover the year from 1 August 2017 to 31 July 2018.

Welfare	Number
i) Number of welfare cases referred for specialist advice and support	1,700
ii) Number of Prevent-related cases escalated to the point at which the Prevent lead has become involved	0
iii) Number of Prevent-related cases which lead to external advice being sought from Prevent partners	0
iv) Number of formal referrals to Channel	0
Please add any further technical information in the free text box below which you believe would be helpful or relevant for OfS to know regatives. (max. 250 words)	arding
i) The figure given is an estimate but does include self-referrals that have led to the provision of specialist advice or support. The vast major students; there were only four cases recorded of significant welfare cases among staff during the reporting period.	ority were

Events & speakers			
i) Total number of events/speakers approved.	Please state whether this is an estimate or an actual figure.	Estimate	1,500
ii) Number of events/speakers approved with conditions/mitigations			100
iii) Number of events/speakers referred to the highest decision maker in the provider's process			1
iv) Number of events/speaker requests rejected			0

Please add any further technical information in the free text box below which you believe would be helpful or relevant for OfS to know regarding Events & Speakers. (max. 250 words)

We do not have systems in place to supply relevant information in all the areas requested here. However, please note:

- i) There were
- , Thick Wold.

 35,104 bookings in centrally-controlled LSE venues for purposes other than degree-programme teaching (some purely administrative eg for maintenance)
- 2,668 of those were in venues usually used for large/public events
- 183 of the 2,668 were in the formal Public Lecture Programme
- but many more will have been public and/or with external speakers, as will some events in smaller venues
- the figure of 1,500 is an estimate rather than a precise figure
- ii) Many events are modified at the planning stage through iterative discussion between student or academic organisers, central service division staff and sometimes external speakers themselves. This does not indicate that they are of concern in Prevent terms; there can be numerous reasons, such as public order issues to be considered. The figure of 100 is an estimate rather than a precise figure.
- iii) One event was referred to the highest decision maker, the School Management Committee (SMC). However, it was referred for freedom of speech reasons unrelated to the Prevent Duty. The number of events referred to SMC for Prevent-related reasons was zero.

Training	Number	
i) Number of staff identified as key to Prevent delivery		
ii) Number of key staff receiving induction Prevent training		
iii) Number of key staff receiving refresher Prevent training		
iv) Number of staff receiving broader welfare/safeguarding awareness training/briefing	180	
Please add any further technical information in the free text box below which you believe would be helpful or relevant for OfS to know regarding		
Training. (max. 250 words)		

i) Many of the 124 staff identified here were first trained before the reporting period; some will have had refresher training during the reporting period and others will have had refresher training since or are due to have it in the the near future. We do not expect all key staff to receive training every year, especially as the fundamentals of the duty have not changed since its introduction.
ii) We do not have systems in place to supply full relevant information in this area; the figure of 52 given here refers to the period 1 January - 31 July 2018.
iii) We do not have systems in place to supply full relevant information in this area; the figure of 7 given here refers to the period 1 January - 31 July 2018.