Guidelines For Annual Performance Review of (Full) Professors

A. Purpose, Scope and Responsibilities

1. This document provides guidance on the Annual Performance Review (APR) process for (full) Professors (Professors for short in what follows). The APR process is first and foremost about review; it is related to the annual contribution pay process but there is no automatic link between the two. However, no Professor can be put forward to the Academic Staff Reward Committee (ASRC) for annual contribution pay in the form of increments or a lump sum if they have not submitted a Faculty Information Form (FIF) and CV. When making recommendations to the ASRC for annual contribution pay, Heads of Department (HoDs) should take the APR into account.

2. All Professors are expected to complete the APR process even if they currently are, or have been during the review period, on partial buyout, sabbatical leave or research leave.

   Those who work less than 0.2 FTE, who are currently on parental or on long-term sickness absence, or who have been on unpaid leave during the entire period of review can complete the APR process but are not expected or required to do so.

   Staff who were promoted to Professor on 1 August 2018 will not be included in this year’s APR as their performance has already recently been reviewed through the promotions process. These professors will, however, be included in future APRs as from next year (2019-20).

3. Primary responsibility for overseeing the operation of this procedure lies with Human Resources (HR). The Pro-Director Faculty Development (PDFD) and the Vice-Chair of the Appointments Committee (VCAC) are responsible for ensuring that the procedure is reviewed and updated.

B. Guiding Principles

1. Review is an essential element in the School’s approach to the development of its faculty; the pursuit of excellence – both individually and collectively – is the primary purpose of this process.

2. The operation of School procedures related to performance review should be fair: all parties have a responsibility to actively promote fairness and appropriate transparency in the operation of the APR process. No individual or group should be unduly disadvantaged. Everyone involved in the assessment exercise should be aware of potential unconscious bias.
3. The School is a diverse institution. There are elements of the procedure which must apply to all academic Departments, but it is appropriate to have some variation to suit local circumstances.

4. The HoD has ultimate responsibility for a rounded evaluation of faculty performance. This procedure provides for the delegation of parts of the evaluation to a Departmental Evaluation Committee (DEC) (see C5), but the final judgement must be agreed by the HoD. The HoD must be able to explain the rationale behind an evaluation if required.

5. There must be accountability for recommendations and decision-making. At all levels in the procedure there is provision to ensure that each higher level provides the necessary oversight of those beneath.

C. Timings and process

1. No later than 9 November 2018, each HoD must inform the PDFD (via HR) whether they plan to empanel a DEC or not. If so, the membership and core rules of operation must be presented for approval by the PDFD (see C5).

2. Professors will be notified of the School’s procedure by 2 November 2018. The period of review is the preceding academic year although research will need to be assessed on a rolling basis (over three years). The School expects that the cumulative effect of the APR process will, over time, fairly reflect and convey the overall performance of an individual.

3. The primary basis for review is: the FIF; the Professor’s updated curriculum vitae (CV) (to be submitted with the FIF); along with teaching scores and teaching loads for the year under review. The CV can be submitted in any format. The FIF and CV should be sent to HR no later than 7 December 2018. HR will forward these to HoDs and their Departmental Managers (DM) as soon as possible thereafter.

4. Where an individual believes that their performance has been adversely affected by personal circumstances, they will have the opportunity to report these to HR. This provides a mechanism for the confidential consideration of these individual circumstances (see section G). This form should be sent alongside the FIF and CV to HR by 7 December 2018.

5. As noted, HoDs may choose to evaluate performance of Professors on their own or by empanelling a DEC (which could include the HoD and Deputy Heads). If empanelling a DEC, the following rules should apply (with names of DEC members being reported to the PDFD via HR):
   a. there should be a minimum of three, and maximum of five, members. All members must be Professors or Deputy Heads. All members should attend;
   b. it may choose to have an external panel member who should be a Professor from within the School;
   c. members must be reminded that their DEC membership is one of significant responsibility and that duties must be undertaken with impartiality and discretion;
   d. evaluation of DEC members’ performance is the responsibility of the HoD;
   e. the DEC will have no knowledge of individual circumstances.
   f. evaluations of research, teaching/education and service/citizenship made by the DEC are final. Other than on grounds of individual circumstance, the HoD cannot normally propose
changes to evaluations made by the DEC. However, since the HoD has ultimate responsibility for a rounded evaluation of performance, the HoD may in very exceptional circumstances over-rule an evaluation made by the DEC.

[Note: HoD recommendations for annual contribution pay are separate and distinct from this evaluation process].

g. brief records of meetings and key decisions taken should be kept.

6. Professors should be evaluated taking into consideration the job description of a Full Professor (see Annex A).

7. The relevant teaching scores, collated by TQARO, will be provided to HoDs by HR. HoDs or their DMs will have information about teaching loads. It is the HoD’s responsibility to make sure that the DEC, if used, has access to any teaching evaluations and teaching load information as well as any other relevant information.

8. Each HoD will send to HR substantive qualitative feedback on the performance of the Professor on each of the three areas of research, teaching/education and service/citizenship. In giving feedback on teaching, HoDs are encouraged to comment not only on teaching scores but also on innovative teaching and learning related initiatives that they are aware of. Departments will provide HR with substantive qualitative feedback given to staff by no later than 1 February 2019.

9. The VCAC will meet with HR partners in February 2019 to discuss staff members who have submitted an Individual Circumstances Form.

10. Professors will receive their feedback, if applicable once individual circumstances have been taken into account.

D. Professors located in more than one Department

1. Professors based, in equal part, in two Departments should decide which Department will have lead responsibility to conduct the review and note this in the relevant section of the FIF. Professors based predominantly in one Department cannot elect the other Department to have lead responsibility. In all cases, the HoD of the Department with lead responsibility must consult with the HoD of the other Department to get his/her input and view on the performance of the Professor.

E. Heads of Department and School Office Holders

1. The performance of HoDs, as relates to their role as HoD only, will be reviewed by the School Management Committee (SMC). HoDs are strongly encouraged to be assessed by either their DEC (without the HoD’s participation) or by a former HoD on teaching/education and research as well as on service/citizenship, including (if they so wish) on their role as HoD. Views of the DEC or former HoD on the performance of the HoD in their role as HoD will be taken into account by SMC in its assessment of HoDs.

2. The performance of School Office Holders, as relates to their School office role only, will be reviewed by SMC. Their performance in teaching/education, research as well as in other aspects of service/citizenship, not relating to their School office, will be assessed by their Department. SMC will review only School office roles for which Departments receive notional teaching
replacement resources, namely: Advisor to Women Students, Chair of the Academic Misconduct Panel, Chair of the Graduate School Board of Examiners, Dean for the General Course, Chair of Research Degrees Sub-Committee, Director of the PhD Academy, Academic Director of the Master of Public Administration (MPA) Programme, VCAB and VCAC.

F. Research Centre Directors

1. Service as a Director or Co-Director of a Research Centre should be included by faculty when completing their FIF and should be taken into account by the HoD or the DEC during assessment. For faculty who are Director or Co-Director of a Research Centre outside their own Department, the HoD or, where applicable, DEC should seek input from the HoD or Institute where the Research Centre is located.

G. Individual Circumstances

1. Professors should only submit an Individual Circumstances Form if they feel that specific personal circumstances are significantly affecting their performance. The School expects that if this is the case, the Professor will have raised these circumstances at the earliest opportunity with the HoD who will have addressed these issues, with the advice of HR, as soon as reasonably possible. The School expects that most circumstances will not need to be stated using the Individual Circumstances Form since, through discussions locally, these will have been addressed. For advice, consult the Department’s HR Partner in the first instance.

2. The following are examples of individual circumstances that might apply where these have had a significant impact on a Professor’s performance:
   - Disability (including conditions such as cancer and chronic fatigue)
   - Ill health or injury
   - Mental health conditions
   - Constraints relating to pregnancy, maternity, breastfeeding, adoption, paternity or childcare in addition to periods of parental leave taken. This could include, for example, pregnancy related illness.
   - Other caring responsibilities (including caring for an elderly or disabled relative)
   - Gender reassignment

   Please note that periods of interruptions in service due to maternity leave, adoption leave, substantial paternity leave, shared parental leave, secondment or special leave buyout should be declared on the FIF instead.

3. If an Individual Circumstances Form was submitted in a previous year and the circumstances are still affecting performance, a new form should be submitted with the same or an updated set of information so that evaluators are aware of this. The form should explain how the individual circumstances have had an impact on the three areas of performance (teaching/education, research, and service/citizenship) during the review period.

4. Information about individual circumstances will be treated with the utmost sensitivity. In particular, the information provided on the Individual Circumstances Form will only be available to relevant HR Partners and the VCAC. To protect members of staff who are evaluated, the DEC will not know of the existence of individual circumstances. Unless the staff member has already
informed the HoD about the nature of the individual circumstances, which is advised, the HoD will not be informed about the existence and severity of individual circumstances following the evaluation, as assessed by the HR Partner in consultation with the VCAC. Staff should keep in mind, however, that if the HoD is not aware of the specific nature of the individual circumstances, this may prevent appropriate support being given to the staff member.

5. In a case where it is concluded by the VCAC and HR Partner that the individual circumstances presented have significantly impacted upon performance, the VCAC can confirm the feedback or amend the feedback.

H. Appeal

1. There is no formal right of appeal. This does not prejudice any rights academic staff might have with respect to the formal grievance procedure under the Academic Annex.
Job Description: Full Professor

Roles at this level reflect internationally recognised academic leadership in research, pedagogical, and entrepreneurial activities, and outstanding contributions to their discipline through publications and other appropriate forms of scholarship. There will be contributions to departmental and School-wide strategic management; considerable engagement in all aspects and at all levels of teaching, and in the mentoring and career development of junior members of staff. The relative balance of activities in research, teaching, management and administration may vary across departments, and is also likely to vary for any particular individual.

Range of Academic Activities and Responsibilities at Professorial Level

Research
- Conducting substantive and original research into complex problems, ideas, concepts or theories and applying appropriate methodologies.
- Developing and carrying forward a coherent research strategy in their discipline which achieves public recognition and a sustained national and international impact.
- Publishing work of outstanding quality and significance to the discipline.
- Planning and directing research activities and programmes of outstanding quality and international significance.
- Leading major peer reviewed funding bids for research programmes in the specialist area.
- Establishing/Directing/Co-Directing Research Centres and leading the activities of teams within Research Centres.
- Contributing to the development of departmental and School research strategy.
- Leading and participating in national and international committees - e.g. REF panels, Research Funding bodies, European Commission committees etc.
- Leading scholarly initiatives in the discipline.
- Membership of boards of international journals and other bodies related to the discipline.
- Providing expert opinion and commentary to external audiences and bodies.
- Providing advice to government bodies.

Teaching
- Teaching and examining undergraduate and masters level students in core subjects and in own specialism to a high standard.
- Acting as personal tutor and providing pastoral care.
- Supervising and examining PhD students.
- Contributing to and monitoring the enhancement of quality in teaching within the department and ensuring that teaching delivery across the department is consistent with best practice.
- Developing innovative and attractive courses, shaping and influencing curriculum development and actively contributing to the review of courses in accordance with departmental strategy.
- Acting as a role model for teaching methods through excellent practice.

Activities relating to departmental /School management and administration
• Fostering collegiality and fulfilling responsibilities as set out by the Head of Department and other senior colleagues.
• Leading strategic management development in the department and the School.
• Assuming senior academic office holding positions.
• Contributing to professorial decision taking on candidates for promotion, review and additional incrementation.
• Assuming the role of Head of Department or deputy for a specified period.
• Participating in professorial committees e.g. the Promotions Committee, the Appointments Committee and the Department Heads Forum.
• Contributing to the development and performance of colleagues through coaching, mentoring and peer support.
• Giving leadership to academic recruitment campaigns and department hiring search committees.
• Engaging with external institutions, organisations and the wider community to support research, teaching and other School strategic objectives.

**When acting as Head of Department**
• Taking responsibility for the appointment procedures, promotion proposals and development and management of all staff within the department.
• Taking responsibility for major processes in the department e.g. forward planning, financial management, teaching and teaching quality, research quality and admissions.
• Preparing key departmental review documents for internal and external consumption e.g. for various School financial reviews, the departmental development plan and the annual report to the Director.
• Taking decisions on course provision in line with strategy.

**Activities relating to the discipline, academic and professional body**
• Participation and occasional leadership roles in national and international professional bodies and other initiatives concerned with promoting the interests of the social sciences or a particular discipline.
## Review schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review interval</th>
<th>Next review due by</th>
<th>Next review start</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>01/10/2019</td>
<td>01/09/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Version history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Approved by</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/10/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Contacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR Manager</td>
<td>Nikhil Kalghatgi</td>
<td><a href="mailto:HR.APR@lse.ac.uk">HR.APR@lse.ac.uk</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Adviser</td>
<td>Louise Hardcastle</td>
<td><a href="mailto:HR.APR@lse.ac.uk">HR.APR@lse.ac.uk</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Communications and Training

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will this document be publicised through Internal Communications?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will training needs arise from this policy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, please give details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>