1. Policy Statement

1.1. LSE (the “School”) is committed to the highest standards of ethical practice, behaviour and integrity among its staff and students. Where anyone has concerns we hope that these can be easily resolved. The School encourages the reporting of any genuine concerns. As raising concerns can be difficult, this policy provides guidance to enable staff to do so and a commitment to ensuring that individuals are not adversely affected as a result of reporting concerns.

1.2. The aim of this Policy is:

   i. To encourage staff to report suspected serious wrongdoing as soon as possible in the knowledge that their concerns will be taken seriously and investigated as appropriate;

   ii. To provide individuals with guidance as to how to raise those concerns;

   iii. To provide a transparent and confidential process for dealing with concerns;

   iv. To ensure individuals are aware that they are able to raise genuine concerns in good faith without fear of reprisal; even if they turn out to be mistaken;

   v. To support the School’s Ethics Code.

   vi. To support the School’s zero tolerance approach to dishonest behaviour, malpractice and misconduct including fraud, bribery and corruption as set out in the School’s policy against bribery and fraud.
2. Who Is Covered By This Policy?

2.1. The Public Interest Disclosure Act legal protections apply to employees and workers. This Policy applies to individuals, contractually connected to the School, including staff, visiting staff, consultants and members of Council and Court, regardless of any protected characteristics.

2.2. Students are encouraged to raise any concerns through the School’s Student Complaints Procedure.

2.3. This policy has been designed to ensure that no-one receives less favourable treatment due to the protected characteristics of age, disability, gender (including gender identity), ethnicity and race, religion or belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity and social and economic background.

2.4. This Policy may be amended at any time and individuals will be able to access the latest version via the Policies pages of the School website.

3. What Is Whistleblowing?

3.1. Whistleblowing is the disclosure of information, in the public interest, which relates to suspected serious wrongdoing or dangers relating to the running of the School or to the work-related activities of Staff. This may include, but is not limited to, information relating to:

- a criminal offence;
- failure to comply with legal obligations;
- financial or non-financial maladministration or malpractice or impropriety or fraud;
- academic or professional malpractice (including, for instance, violation of intellectual property rights, failure of integrity in research and academic misconduct such as the use of essay writing services);
- a risk to the health or safety of any individual;
- environmental damage;
- a miscarriage of justice;
- attempts to suppress or conceal any information relating to any of the above.

3.2. A whistleblower is a person who raises a genuine serious concern in good faith relating to any of the above. The whistleblower may or may not be directly affected by the matter.

3.3. If an individual is uncertain whether something is within the scope of this Policy, they should seek advice from the School Secretary whose contact details are at section 10. They may also contact Protect, which is an independent whistleblowing charity which operates an advice hotline.

3.4. All disclosures should be made with the “reasonable belief” that it is in the public interest. This means that any such disclosure must affect others, for example, the general public, or other members of staff.

3.5. Concerns that are not of a public interest nature, or those which fall into an area covered by another procedure, will not be considered under this Policy. Any such concerns may be considered under other policies and procedures of the School.
3.6. Complaints that relate to a personal grievance are not usually covered by whistleblowing law. Staff grievances can be addressed through the School’s grievance procedures.

3.7. For the avoidance of doubt, this Policy cannot be used in order to re-open or review a matter that is currently, or has already been decided, under one of the School’s other procedures.

3.8. All UK employees are protected under the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998.

3.9. This Policy provides an internal process for reporting, investigating and remedying any suspected wrongdoing at the School. As such, while it is accepted that in some circumstances it may be appropriate to report concerns to an external body, such as a regulator, the School recommends that the internal process be used in the first instance. However, please note that if external disclosure is necessary, the independent whistleblowing charity, Protect, operates a confidential helpline and also provides a list of prescribed regulators for reporting concerns.

3.10. Whistleblowing can sometimes relate to the actions of a third party, such as a supplier or service provider. The law allows Staff to raise a concern in good faith with a third party, where they reasonably believe it relates mainly to their actions or something that is legally their responsibility. However, the School encourages reporting of such concerns internally first. Advice and guidance can be sought from the contacts listed in section 10.

4. Making a disclosure

4.1. Any individual as defined in paragraph 2.1 may raise a concern in the first instance with a Head of Department, Service Leader, line manager, trade union representative or colleague. The person notified will aim to resolve the concern quickly and effectively or will refer the matter to the School Secretary, or a Pro-Director if the concerns relate to the School Secretary, on behalf of the member of staff or member of Court.

4.2. Heads of Department, Service Leaders, line managers, trade union representatives or colleagues who receive reports may seek advice and guidance from the Secretary’s Division (ethics@lse.ac.uk) or Human Resources (Humanresources@lse.ac.uk).

4.3. Where the matter is more serious, or the individual feels that the person that they notified has not addressed their concern, or they prefer not to raise it with them for any reason, they should raise the concerns verbally (in person or by phone) or in writing as set out below:

- Concerns should be raised with the School Secretary, unless the School Secretary is the subject of the concern or is in some way implicated in it (in which case paragraph 5.4 applies).
- Where a concern relates to the School Secretary, the reporting individual may refer the matter to a Pro-Director.
- If the reporting individual considers it inappropriate to raise the concern with either the School Secretary or a Pro-Director, they may refer the matter to the Chair of the Audit Committee.
- All contact details are at section 10.

4.4. An individual is not required to classify the type of wrongdoing or even be able to provide firm evidence – it is sufficient to raise a concern that they believe there is, or has been, an act of wrongdoing.
4.5. If an individual prefers not to raise the matter directly with a member of the LSE community according to the procedure above, they may contact the independent whistleblowing service to which the School subscribes: EQS Integrity Line. This enables individuals to make anonymous reports: 
https://lse.eqs-integrity.org/

5. Investigation And Outcome

5.1. In all cases where a concern is raised under paragraph 4.2, the person to whom the concern is reported will acknowledge its receipt and keep a record of action taken. This will include an initial assessment to determine the scope of any investigation.

5.2. If, on preliminary examination, the concern is judged to be wholly without substance or merit and no further action will be taken or if the concern should be considered under a different School procedure the whistleblower will be informed accordingly.

5.3. Where appropriate the matter may be referred for investigation under the relevant School policy, grievance or disciplinary procedure.

5.4. The relevant officer outlined in 4.3 may appoint another person to undertake the investigation on their behalf. Where there is an investigation, the person or persons identified as the subject of the concern will be informed of each allegation made against them and any evidence supporting it and will be allowed to comment before the investigation is concluded.

5.5. The School Secretary/ a Pro-Director /Chair of Audit Committee will aim to acknowledge the report within 5 working days and will keep the whistleblower informed of who is handling the matter, the progress of the investigation and its likely timescale. Timescales can vary greatly depending on the nature of the concerns.

5.6. Sometimes the need for confidentiality may limit the provision of specific details of the investigation or any actions taken as a result. The whistleblower should treat any information about the investigation as confidential.

5.7. Upon the conclusion of an investigation, the School Secretary/ a Pro-Director/Chair of Audit Committee will let the whistleblower know the outcome. The School Secretary/ a Pro-Director/Chair of Audit Committee is also responsible for the submission of a report to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee must also be made aware of any concerns dismissed after preliminary examination.

6. If The Whistleblower Is Not Satisfied

6.1. If the whistleblower is not satisfied with the way in which their concern has been handled because either:

   a. They believe the procedures have not been followed properly;
   b. There is evidence of prejudice or bias; or
   c. There is further material evidence which was not available at the time the original concerns were raised;

6.2. There is a right of appeal within four weeks of receiving the outcome on these grounds only to the Chief Operating Officer, or if the allegation relates to the Chief Operating Officer, to the Director.

6.3. The Chief Operating Officer, (or the Director) will decide if the case meets the grounds for appeal (as set out above). If it does, they will appoint the Chair of the Audit Committee to hear the appeal.
6.4. The Chief Operating Officer (or the Director) will let the whistleblower know the outcome of the appeal. The Chief Operating Officer (or the Director) is also responsible for the submission of a report to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee must also be made aware of any appeals dismissed after preliminary examination.

7. Confidentiality And Anonymity

7.1. The School hopes that individuals will feel able to voice whistleblowing concerns openly under this Policy. It is helpful for the School to know the identity of the whistleblower in order to conduct a fair and effective investigation.

7.2. However, if an individual wants to raise a concern confidentially directly to the School via the procedure outlined in 4.1-4.2 above, the School will make every effort to keep their identity confidential. An individual's identity will not be disclosed without prior consent.

7.3. Additionally, if an individual wants to raise a concern anonymously via the independent whistleblowing hotline described under 5.3 above, the School will proceed with an investigation on the basis of all the information disclosed to it and agreed to be disclosed by the whistleblower.

7.4. In all instances, anonymous complaints will be investigated or acted upon under this procedure, as the person receiving the complaint sees fit. Investigating anonymous concerns is more difficult and the scope of the investigation will depend on the seriousness of the issue raised, the credibility of the complaint, the prospects of being able to investigate the matter, and fairness to any individual mentioned in the complaint.

7.5. For further independent advice, staff can seek advice from Protect, the independent whistleblowing charity, which offers a confidential helpline. Their contact details are at the end of this Policy.

7.6. Data stored on individuals is compliant with General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR). Further information on the data that is held can be found in the School's Information Asset Register. All queries should be directed to GLPD.Info.Rights@lse.ac.uk.

8. Protection For Whistleblowers

8.1. It is understandable that whistleblowers are sometimes worried about possible repercussions. As such, the School aims to encourage openness and will support Staff and members of Court who raise genuine concerns in good faith under this Policy, even if they turn out to be mistaken.

8.2. If the School concludes that an individual has made malicious allegations, in bad faith or with a view to personal gain, that individual will be subject to disciplinary action under the School's relevant procedure.

8.3. Staff or members of Court will not suffer any detrimental treatment as a result of raising a concern without malice, in good faith, reasonably believing it to be true. Detrimental treatment includes but is not limited to dismissal, disciplinary action, threats or other unfavourable treatment connected with raising a concern. If the whistleblower believes that they have suffered any such treatment, then they should inform the relevant contact (listed in section 10) immediately. If the detrimental treatment is not remedied, the whistleblower should raise it formally using the relevant grievance or disciplinary procedure.

8.4. Staff and members of Court must not threaten or retaliate against whistleblowers in any way. Anyone involved in such conduct will be subject to disciplinary action.
## 9. Oversight And Ownership

9.1. The School Management Committee (SMC) has overall responsibility for this Policy, and for reviewing the effectiveness of actions taken in response to concerns raised under this Policy. The Ethics Management Board, Ethics Committee and Audit Committee support SMC with monitoring the Policy.

## 10. Contacts

10.1. Contact addresses and numbers for those mentioned in the procedure are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Tel</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School Secretary</td>
<td>Secretary’s Office, London School of Economics &amp; Political Science</td>
<td>020 7955 4959</td>
<td><a href="mailto:secretary@lse.ac.uk">secretary@lse.ac.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro-Directors</td>
<td>Directorate, London School of Economics &amp; Political Science</td>
<td>020 7955 7077</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Directoroffice@lse.ac.uk">Directoroffice@lse.ac.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Directorate, London School of Economics &amp; Political Science</td>
<td>020 7955 6575</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Directoroffice@lse.ac.uk">Directoroffice@lse.ac.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair of Council</td>
<td>c/o Secretary’s Office, London School of Economics &amp; Political Science</td>
<td>020 7955 7554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair of Audit Committee</td>
<td>c/o Secretary’s Office, London School of Economics &amp; Political Science</td>
<td>020 7955 7825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect (independent whistleblowing charity hotline)</td>
<td>The Green House 244-254 Cambridge Heath Road, London E2 9DA</td>
<td>020 7404 6609</td>
<td><a href="mailto:whistle@protect-advice.org.uk">whistle@protect-advice.org.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrity Line</td>
<td><a href="https://lse.eqs-integrity.org/">https://lse.eqs-integrity.org/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You wish to raise a concern

Consult the LSE Student Complaints Procedure

Proceed with Early Resolution or Formal Complaint

You need further information or advice. Email ethics@lse.ac.uk or Protect.org.uk

Consult the LSE Whistleblowing Policy

You wish to proceed and raise a concern

STAGE 1 INFORMAL

Raise the concern to a Head of Department, Service Leader, line manager, trade union representative or colleague to investigate.

You do not need to specify exact wrongdoing

Not resolved (referral by person notified or reporter)

STAGE 2 FORMAL

Raise concern to School Secretary
If Secretary is not appropriate, raise to Pro-Director or Chair of the Audit Committee

Initial assessment

Full investigation

Resolved (Actions or No action)

Referred to appropriate School Policy

Resolved – reporter Informed of outcome

If reporter not satisfied

STAGE 3 APPEAL*

Chief-Operating Officer
Or Director if the allegation relates to COO

Does not meet grounds for appeal: No further

Does meet grounds for appeal: Chair of Audit hears appeal

Resolved – reporter Informed of outcome

If reporter not satisfied

STAGE 4 EXTERNAL

If unresolved internally refer to independent whistleblowing service: Protect

All concerns raised will be treated as confidential.
You will not suffer detriment if you raise a concern in good faith. However, if you make malicious allegations in bad faith or with a view to personal gain, you will be subject to disciplinary action under the School’s relevant procedure.

* PLEASE REFER TO THE WHISTLEBLOWING POLICY FOR GROUNDS OF APPEAL
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### Contacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethics Manager</td>
<td>Stephanie Allison</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ethics@lse.ac.uk">ethics@lse.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>Author</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Communications and Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>question</th>
<th>answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will this document be publicised through Internal Communications?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will training needs arise from this policy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, please give details:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whistleblowing training to be provided to HR partners to support managers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>across the School;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whistleblowing policy information included in all staff induction ethics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sessions;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whistleblowing policy referred to in the Ethics at LSE module that all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>staff are asked to complete.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>